

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,929	03/23/2005	Frank Grau	14116	6414
75	7590 02/08/2006 EXA		MINER	
Orum & Roth			BONK, T	ERESA
53 West Jackson	ı Blvd.			
Suite 1616			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60604			3725	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/528,929	GRAU ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Teresa M. Bonk	3725
T Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or peply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	esponsive to communication(s) filed on nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This nce this application is in condition for allowal osed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition	of Claims		
4a 5)	laim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application ) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and/or are subject.	wn from consideration.	
Application	ı Papers		
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2005 is/are: oplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)⊠ 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
2) Notice of 3) Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) to(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because the examiner believes in Figure 1 that the reference signs 3b and 3c are addressing the incorrect areas, according to the following drawing and disclosure in the specifications, and should be switched. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3725

# Claim Objections

2. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is not clear to the examiner if the applicant wants both "a circular movement" and "a tilting movement" or "at least one of" the movements. Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claims 4 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: There are several spelling errors in both claims, specifically in claim 4, "initial" and "having", and in claim 5, "transition" and "initial." Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is insufficient antecedent basis for the following limitations in the claim, "the outer diameter" and "the tubular initial workpiece."

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Art Unit: 3725

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anthony (US Patent 3,868,842) in view of Gouiran (FR 2801522). Anthony discloses, as best understood, a method consisting of a "two-step forging" (Abstract, second paragraph, first line) process having a reducing unit adapted to form a transition area in the form of a circumferential inclined surface on the tubular initial workpiece. (Column 2, last line, and Column 3, lines 1-4, and transition area generally indicated by reference sign 24 and 25)

The first processing step includes reducing a first area of an initial workpiece by a radial forming process, effected by rotary swaging, for reducing a outer diameter of the workpiece, forming a transition area, extending at an angle relative to the longitudinal axis extending between the first area of the initial workpiece having the reduced diameter and a non-reduced

Art Unit: 3725

second area (generally indicated with the reference sign 20 and 21 in Figure 4 and reference sign 11 in Figure 5) following the transition area. (Column 3, lines 53-54 and Column 4, lines 36-37)

Anthony substantially discloses the claimed invention except for the orbital forming apparatus and process.

Gouiran discloses, as best understood, a method for deforming a tube having a reducing unit, which is at least one forging die with an inclined forming surface, and comprising an orbital tool that performs an orbital movement about a longitudinal axis (The device is indicated generally by reference sign 8). The orbital forming unit is adapted to convert the inclined transition area to a substantially rectangular shoulder (is indicated generally by reference sign 46 in Figure 5) of the workpiece by cold forming of the initial workpiece. The second process step includes a cold forming process that is an orbital forming process (orbital forging) that is effect by at least one of the circular movement or tilting movement. This second process step will form the transition area on the wall of the initial workpiece to obtain a substantially rectangular shoulder of the workpiece.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the radial forming/second swaging step in Anthony's invention for Gouiran machining step of orbital processing, a conventional machining operation because it is an acceptable exchange in the art in order is to achieve a more precise result (Anthony, Column 3, lines 8-11).

Art Unit: 3725

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure and further shows the state of the art:

US Patent 6,276,180; US Patent 5,465,598; US Patent 6,247,346;

and US Patent 6,792,782

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Teresa M. Bonk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1901.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM - 5PM with alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-9900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Teresa M. Bonk Examiner

Art Unit 3725

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**